UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/540,756		03/31/2000	Laura L. Mahan	27996-232-UTIL	27996-232-UTIL 3424	
35437	· 7590	09/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO 666 THIRD AVENUE			VAUGHN, GREGORY J			
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2178		
		DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/540,756	MAHAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Gregory J. Vaughn	2178

•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gregory J. Vaughn	2178		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Gregory J. Vaughn</u> .	(3)		•	
(2) Boris A. Matvenko (applicant's representitive).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 21 September 2006.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8,14-17 and 42</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Gill et al., Us Patent 6,081,262.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representitive discussed the claimed invention in view of the cited prior art of record. The examiner and applicant's representitive discussed proposed claim amendments. No agreement with respect to the claims was reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

MINTZ LEVIN

Boris A. Matvenko | 212 692 6858 | bmatvenko@mintz.com

Chrysler Center 666 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017 212-935-3000 212-983-3115 fax www.mintz.com

fax transmittal

FROM:

Name

Boris A. Matvenko

Date

September 19, 2006

of Pages

2

To:

Name	Company	Business#	Fax #
Examiner Vaughn	United States Patent and Trademark Office	571-272-4131	571-273-4131

Comments:

Examiner Vaughn:

Enclosed is an Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form in connection with a scheduled September 20, 2006 Interview at 3PM regarding U.S. Patent Application No. 09/540,756. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 212-692-6858.

Respectfully Submitted,

Boris A. Matvenko

Please call us at 212-935-3000 if you experience any problems.

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information contained in this fax is intended for the exclusive use of the addressee and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any form or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If this fax was sent in error, please immediately notify us by phone.

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

BOSTON | WASHINGTON | NEW YORK | STAMFORD | LOS ANGELES | PALO ALTO | SAN DIEGO | LONDON

· , , , , , , , , , , ,

PTOL-413A (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006 OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form					
Application No.: 09/540,756	First Named Applican	Laura L. Mahan			
Examiner: Vaughn, Gregory J.	Art Unit: 2178	Status of Application: Pend		ling	
Tentative Participants: (1)_Examiner Vaughn	(2) Boris A. Matvenko	, Reg. No. 48,1	65		
(3)	(4)				
Proposed Date of Interview: Septem	nber 20, 2006	Proposed Ti	ime: 3 PM	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview Requested: (1) [×] Telephonic (2) [Person	nal (3) [] Video (Conference			
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrat If yes, provide brief description:					
Issues To Be Discussed					
Issues Claims/		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior				
(1) 35 U.S.C. 102(e) Rejection 1	Art U.S. Patent No. 6,081,262 to Gill	[]	[]	[]	
(2) 35 U.S.C. 103(a) Rejection 42	U.S. Patent No. 6,081,262 to Gill	[]	[]	[]	
(3)		[]	[]	[]	
(4)		[]	[]	[]	
Brief Description of Arguments to be Gill does not disclose all elements of the	e Presented: e claims.				
Gill is concerned with generating a layo	ut of a presentation, but no	t with gathering	content for the	presentation.	
•					
An interview was conducted on the a NOTE: This form should be completed (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from interview. Therefore, applicant is advise as soon as possible.	by applicant and submitt n issue because of applicar	ed to the examin	bmit a written	record of this	
Applicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature	Exam	iner/SPE Sign	ature	
Boris A. Matvenko Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or F	Danasantati				
48,165	Representative		•		
Registration Number, if applie	cable				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PAGE 2/2 * RCVD AT 9/19/2006 3:18:53 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/33 * DNIS:2734131 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):01-02